



**FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE**

**April 6, 2009**

## **COURT URGED TO UPHOLD ARIZONA LAW DESIGNED TO PREVENT ALIEN VOTING**

*(Gonzalez v. State of Arizona)*

The Washington Legal Foundation (WLF) this week urged the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit in San Francisco to reject a challenge to Proposition 200, an initiative adopted in November 2004 by Arizona voters. The initiative was designed to prevent aliens from voting.

In a brief filed in *Gonzalez v. State of Arizona*, WLF argued that Arizona voters were well within their rights in adopting measures designed to prevent election fraud -- including requirements that those seeking to register to vote must provide evidence of citizenship, and that those seeking to vote must provide proof of identity. WLF argued that these measures violate neither the U.S. Constitution nor the National Voting Rights Act of 1993 (NVRA), which requires all States to permit mail-in voter registration.

WLF is representing Protect Arizona NOW (PAN), the group that spearheaded adoption of Proposition 200; and the Allied Educational Foundation. WLF also represented PAN when the case previously reached the Ninth Circuit in 2007, and in prior litigation challenging other portions of Proposition 200 that seek to prevent illegal aliens from collecting welfare benefits. WLF prevailed in the prior litigation, and the welfare-related provisions are not at issue in the latest round of lawsuits.

Three separate lawsuits were filed in Phoenix during 2006, challenging Proposition 200's election fraud provisions. Those cases were consolidated, and the Plaintiffs' efforts to obtain a preliminary injunction against enforcement were denied by the federal district court, a denial that was unanimously affirmed by the Ninth Circuit in 2007. Following an August 2008 trial, the district judge upheld the election fraud provisions in all respects. The plaintiffs appealed from that judgment. WLF filed its most recent brief in opposition to that appeal.

"Evidence suggests that thousands of aliens are improperly registered to vote in Arizona and elsewhere in this country," said WLF Chief Counsel Richard Samp after filing WLF's brief. "Americans will soon lose faith in the integrity of the election process if States are not permitted to take effective steps to ensure that aliens are not voting," Samp said.

The plaintiffs assert that Proposition 200 imposes onerous documentation requirements that will discourage citizens from attempting to register to vote and, once registered, from attempting to vote. In its brief in the appeals court, WLF disputed those assertions, noting that one of the many documents accepted by Arizona election officials as proof of citizenship is a driver's license and that 98% of all Arizona citizens possess at least one of the documents accepted as proof of citizenship. WLF argued that in light of the relatively minor documentation burden imposed by Proposition 200 on prospective voters and Arizona's significant interest in preventing election fraud, Proposition 200 does not infringe on anyone's constitutional right to vote. WLF also argued that while the NVRA (a 1993 federal law often referred to as the "motor-voter" law) mandates that States permit voter registration by mail, nothing in the NVRA prohibits States from requiring anyone registering by mail to attach to his registration form some evidence (such as a driver's license number) that he is a U.S. citizen.

This case has had an interesting procedural history. In October 2006, the plaintiffs asked the Ninth Circuit to grant an emergency injunction while its appeal from denial of a preliminary injunction was being heard. Without waiting for all defendants to respond to the request, a two-judge Ninth Circuit panel granted the motion. Arizona then sought emergency relief from the U.S. Supreme Court, arguing that the last-minute injunction would create havoc in the upcoming general election. The Supreme Court sided with Arizona, unanimously ruling that the Ninth Circuit had acted improperly in granting an injunction pending appeal. Proposition 200 has been fully enforced in Arizona ever since, with no noticeable effect on voter turnout.

WLF is a public-interest law and policy center with supporters in all 50 states, including many in Arizona. It devotes a significant portion of its resources to combatting illegal immigration and ensuring that aliens who engage in criminal activities are excluded from American society.

\* \* \*

For further information, contact WLF Chief Counsel Richard A. Samp (202) 588-0302. A copy of WLF's brief is posted on its web site, [www.wlf.org](http://www.wlf.org).